# Use of Remote Sensing in Addressing Bias & Uncertainty in Wind Measurements

AWEA Wind Resource & Project Energy Assessment Workshop

Portland, OR, USA, 24&25 Sept. 2008





D. Faghani, E. Desrosiers, B. Aït-Driss & M. Poulin Presented By Dariush FAGHANI, PhD., Section Head, R&D

### Outline



**Opportunities for Wind Energy Industry** 



Value of Remote Sensing



**Recent Progress Addressing Usual Concerns** 



**Session Agenda** 



# **Opportunities for Wind Energy Industry**

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

->

 $\rightarrow$ 

#### ≻ How and when remote sensing is being used?

- Fields of application
  - > Wind Resource Assessment
  - Site Suitability
  - > Project Performance
  - > Offshore
- Fields of research
  - > Wind shear
  - > Wind veer
  - > Turbulence intensity
  - > Flow angle
  - > Wake

- Prelim. siting / Reduce bias-uncertainty
- Curtailment issues
- Understand underperformance
- Reduce cost of WRAP
  - Effect on AEP Effect on aeroelastic loading Design improvement Control algorithm Simulation refinement Standard update (power curve/site suitability)

#### ≻ How and when should remote sensing be used ?



# Value of Remote Sensing\*

#### > North American Consultants – A Survey (Sept. 2008)

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

- 9 consultants surveyed in US and Canada
- Aggregated results
  - General confidence
  - Resource assessment
  - Site calibration
  - Site suitability
  - Power curve
- ➤ General conclusion
  - Remote sensing is offered and increasingly promoted
  - Remote sensing is sugested in conjunction with met towers
  - Remote sensing is used to reduce uncertainty but not (yet) bias
  - Lack of standard impedes full acceptance
  - Confidence is still limited due to incomplete understanding of site-specific dependencies

\*Onshore only

- Moderate to high
  Yes with towers
  Yes with towers
  - Yes with towers
  - Occasionally

No



## Value of Remote Sensing

#### > Case Study: Reducing Vertical Extrapolation Uncertainty

- 0.90 0.91 0.90 0.88 0.85 0.87 **()00. 1.**00.80 0.77 0.76 **BED** (**D**20.75 0.71 0.70 0.70 0.65 P90 P95 □ Simple Terrain (60-m Towers) ■ Simple Terrain (60-m Towers + RS) □ Complex Terrain (60-m Towers) □ Complex Terrain (60-m Towers + RS)
- Typical 100-MW range wind project at CF ~33%

Global uncertainty could be further reduced using site calibration with a mobile remote sensing unit



#### > Availability: Precipitation / Clear sky / Cold climate

- > Evaluation of ZephIR (A. Albers, Windguard, 2006)
- > Evaluation of Windcube (A. Albers, Windguard, 2008)
- Commercial lidar profilers for wind energy. A comparative guide (M.Courtney et al., Risoe, EWEC 2008)
- Evaluation of an improved doppler sodar for a wind ramp forecasting system (S. Walker & Ph. Barbour, Bonneville Power Adm./Second Wind Inc., BPA report No. 2008-03, 2008)
- Sodar / Lidar (current workshop)

- Significant improvement in general availability
- Ongoing studies for longer durations

#### > Complex Terrain / Flow – Canopy / vegetation

- Accuracy and relevance of pulsed doppler lidar wind profile measurements in complex terrain (R. Parmentier et al., EWEC 2008)
- > Laser measurements of flow over a forest (J. Mann et al., IOP 2007)
- Sodar / lidar (current workshop)

- Better understanding of limitations
- More robust processing algorithms
- CFD models to help understand differences with point measurements



#### > Uncertainty & Bias: Vector vs. scalar average, Volume vs. point measurement

- Compensation of vector & volume averaging bias in Lidar wind speed measurements (P. Clive, Earth & Env. Sci. 2008)
- Simulation of turbulence measurements made by a ZephIR Lidar (Dougal McQueen, Meridian, 2008)
- > Evaluation of Windcube (A. Albers, Windguard, 2008)
- Wind shear proportional errors in the horizontal wind speed sensed by focused, range gated lidars (Lindelöw et al., Earth & Env. Sci. 2008)
- Maximizing the accuracy of sodar measurements for wind resource assessment (K. Moore & B. Bailey, AWST, 2006)
- Recommended practices for the use of sodar in wind energy resource assessment (K. Moore et al., IEA, draft ver. 3, 2007)

- Simple corrections formulae for volume measurements but not general purpose
- Sodar/lidar may be within ~2-5% of anemometer mean velocity with "special care"
- Remarkably small standard errors reported for lidar



#### > Other Applications : Power curve

- > Evaluation of ZephIR (A. Albers, Windguard, 2006)
- > Evaluation of Windcube (A. Albers, Windguard, 2008)
- > Remote sensing used for power curves (Wagner et al., Earth & Env. Sci. 2008)
- Turbulence, shear and stability influences on lower boundary-layer profiles (K. Moore et. al., Am. Met. Soc.18<sup>th</sup> Boundary Layer & Turb. Symposium, Stockholm, 2008)

- Quantification of AEP bias as compared to IEC standard (anemometer)
- Comparison of uncertainties (lidar vs. anemometer)
- Definition of correction formulae for hub-height velocity to account for shear



## **Session Agenda**

#### > Presentation of Recent Validation Campaigns

- Sodar
- Lidar

#### > Panel Discussion

- Qualitative flow description or quantitative results for the wind project?
- Best practice and standard?
- To invest or not to invest in remote sensing?



# **THANK YOU**

Dariush FAGHANI, PhD Section Head, R&D faghanid@helimax.com 514-272-2175 Ext. 241

Helimax Energy Inc.

